# EXISTENCE OF COMMON FIXED POINT IN COMPLETE METRIC SPACES

SEEMA DEVI, NAVEEN GULATI, NAVEEN SHARMA

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we prove a common fixed point theorem for pairs of mappings on complete metric spaces by assuming that one of given mapping is continuous. Our results generalize and extend some recently announced results in the literature.

#### 1. Introduction

Fixed point theory for continuous and related mappings has played a very important role in many aspects of nonlinear functional analysis for many years. Basic idea in this paper is, to the study of fixed points of some mappings in complete metric spaces. we improve and extend some results due to RK Namdeo et al [6], P.P. Muthy et al [1]. Some related fixed point theorem on two and more complete metric spaces also studied by V. Popa [8], S.C. Nesic [7], Vishal Gupta [2]- [5]. In this paper, we prove a related fixed point theorem for two mappings, by assuming that one of given mapping is continuous, on two metric spaces. Thus our theorem improves theorem (2.1) and (2.2).

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** Let (X,d) be metric space. A sequence  $\{x_n\} \in X$  is said to be converge to a point  $p \in X \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists a \text{ positive integer } n_0(\varepsilon) \text{ such that}$ 

$$d(x_n, p) < \varepsilon, \ \forall \ n \geqslant n_0$$

**Definition 2.2.** Let (X,d) be a metric space, a sequence  $\{x_n\} \in X$  is said to be Cauchy sequence if  $d(x_m, x_n) \to 0$  as  $m, n \to \infty$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A metric space (X, d) is said to be complete iff every Cauchy sequence in X converges to a point of X.

The following fixed point theorem was proved by B Fisher et al [1].

 $<sup>^12000</sup>$  Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary:47H10,Secondary:54H24  $\it Date$ : July 11, 2013.

Key words and phrases. Fixed point, continuous mapping, Cauchy sequence, complete metric space.

## SEEMA DEVI, NAVEEN GULATI, NAVEEN SHARMA

**Theorem 2.1.** Let (X, d) and  $(Y, \rho)$  be complete metric spaces and let  $A, B: X \to Y$  and  $S, T: Y \to X$  satisfying the inequalities.

$$d(SAx, TBx') \leqslant c \max \{d(x, x'), d(x, SAx)$$

$$d(x', TBx'), \rho(Ax, Bx')\}$$

$$\rho(BSy, ATy') \leqslant c \max \{\rho(y, y'), \rho(y, BSy),$$

$$\rho(y', ATy'), d(Sy, Ty')\}$$

 $\forall x, x' \in X \text{ and } y, y' \in Y \text{ where } 0 \leq c < 1.$  If one of the mappings A, B, S and T is continuous. The SA and TB have a unique common fixed point  $z \in X \text{ and } BS \text{ and } AT \text{ have a unique fixed point } w \in Y.$  Further Az = Bz = w and Sw = Tw = z.

The next theorem was proved by RK Namdeo et al [6].

**Theorem 2.2.** Let (X,d) and  $(y,\rho)$  be complete metric spaces. Let  $T: X \to Y$  and  $S: Y \to X$  satisfying the inequalities.

$$d\left(Sy,Sy'\right)d\left(STx,STx'\right) \leqslant c \max\left\{d(Sy,Sy')\rho(Tx,Tx'),\right.$$

$$d\left(x',Sy\right)\rho\left(y',Tx\right),$$

$$d\left(x,x'\right)d\left(Sy,Sy'\right),$$

$$d\left(Sy,STx\right)d\left(Sy',STx'\right)\right\}$$

$$\rho\left(Tx,Tx'\right)\left(TSy,TSy'\right) \leqslant c \max\left\{d\left(Sy,Sy'\right)\rho\left(Tx,Tx'\right)\right.$$

$$d\left(x',Sy\right)\rho\left(y',Tx\right),$$

$$\rho\left(y,y'\right)\rho\left(Tx,Tx'\right),$$

$$\rho\left(Tx,TSy\right)\rho\left(Tx',TSy'\right)\right\}$$

 $\forall x, x' \in X \text{ and } y, y' \in Y, \text{ where } 0 \leq c < 1 \text{ If either } T \text{ or } S \text{ is } continuous then } ST \text{ has a unique fixed point } z \in X \text{ and } TS \text{ has a } unique \text{ fixed point } w \in Y. \text{ Further } Tz = w \text{ and } Sw = z.$ 

#### 3. Main Result

We now prove the following related fixed point theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(X, d_1)$  and  $(Y, d_2)$  be complete metric spaces. Let  $A, B: X \to Y$  and  $S, T: Y \to X$  satisfying the inequalities.

$$d_{1}(Sy, Ty') d_{1}(SAx, TBx') \leq c \max \{d_{1}(Sy, Ty')d_{2}(Ax, Bx'), d_{1}(x', Sy) d_{2}(y', Ax), d_{1}(x, x') d_{1}(Sy, Ty'), d_{1}(Sy, SAx) d_{1}(Ty', TBx')\}$$
(3.1)  
$$d_{2}(Ax, Bx') d_{2}(BSy, ATy') \leq c \max \{d_{1}(Sy, Ty') d_{2}(Ax, Bx') d_{1}(x', Sy) d_{2}(y', Ax), d_{2}(y, y') d_{2}(Ax, Bx'), d_{2}(Ax, BSy) d_{2}(Bx', ATy')\}$$
(3.2)

 $\forall x, x' \in X \text{ and } y, y' \in Y, \text{ where } 0 \leq c < 1.$  If one of the mappings A, B, S, T is continuous then SA and TB have a unique common fixed point  $z \in X$  and BS and AT have unique common fixed point  $w \in Y$ . Further Az = Bz = w and Sw = Tw = z.

*Proof.* Let x be an arbitrary point in X. Let,

$$Ax = y_1$$
,  $Sy_1 = x_1$ ,  $Bx_1 = y_2$ ,  $Ty_2 = x_2$ ,  $Ax_2 = y_3$  and in general let,

$$Sy_{2n-1} = x_{2n-1},$$
  $Bx_{2n-1} = y_{2n},$   
 $Ty_{2n} = x_{2n},$   $Ax_{2n} = y_{2n+1}$ 

For  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  using inequality (3.1), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} d_1\left(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}\right)d_1\left(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}\right) &= d_1\left(Sy_{2n-1},Ty_{2n}\right)d_1\left(SAx_{2n-1},TBx_{2n}\right)\\ &\leqslant c\max\\ &\left\{d_1\left(Sy_{2n-1},Ty_{2n}\right)d_2\left(Ax_{2n-1},Bx_{2n}\right),\\ d_1\left(x_{2n},Sy_{2n-1}\right)d_2\left(y_{2n},Ax_{2n-1}\right),\\ d_1\left(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}\right)d_1\left(Sy_{2n-1},Ty_{2n}\right),\\ d_1\left(Sy_{2n-1},SAx_{2n-1}\right)d_1\left(Ty_{2n},TBx_{2n}\right)\right\}\\ &\leqslant c\max\left\{d_1\left(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}\right)d_2\left(y_{2n},y_{2n+1}\right),\\ d_1\left(x_{2n},x_{2n-1}\right)d_2\left(y_{2n},y_{2n}\right),\\ d_1\left(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}\right)d_1\left(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}\right),\\ d_1\left(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}\right)d_1\left(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}\right)\right\}\\ d_1\left(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}\right)d_1\left(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}\right),\\ \left[d_1\left(x_{2n-1},x_{2n}\right)\right]^2, \end{aligned}$$

## 4 SEEMA DEVI, NAVEEN GULATI, NAVEEN SHARMA

$$d_1(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) d_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$$

From which it follows that

$$d_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leqslant c \max \left\{ d_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), d_1(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \right\}$$
 (3.3)

Now using inequality (3.1) again, it follows similarly that

$$d_1(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \le c \max \{d_1(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}), d_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})\}$$
 (3.4)

Using inequality (3.2), we have,

$$[d_{2}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})]^{2} = d_{2}(Ax_{2n-1}, Bx_{2n}) d_{2}(BSy_{2n-1}, ATy_{2n})$$

$$\leqslant c \max \{d_{1}(Sy_{2n-1}, Ty_{2n}) d_{2}(Ax_{2n-1}, Bx_{2n}),$$

$$d_{1}(x_{2n}, Sy_{2n-1}) d_{2}(y_{2n}, Ax_{2n-1}),$$

$$d_{2}(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) d_{2}(Ax_{2n-1}, Bx_{2n}),$$

$$d_{2}(Ax_{2n-1}, BSy_{2n-1}) d_{2}(Bx_{2n}, ATy_{2n})\}$$

$$\leqslant c \max \{d_{1}(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) d_{2}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}),$$

$$d_{1}(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) d_{2}(y_{2n}, y_{2n}),$$

$$d_{2}(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) d_{2}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}),$$

$$d_{2}(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) d_{2}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}),$$

$$d_{2}(y_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}) d_{2}(y_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n})\}$$

From which it follows that,

$$d_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leqslant c \max \{d_1(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), d_2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})\}$$
(3.5)

$$d_2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \le c \max \{d_1(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}), d_2(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1})\}$$
 (3.6)

Using inequalities (3.3) and (3.5), we have,

$$d_{1}(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leqslant c \max \{d_{1}(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}), d_{2}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\}$$

$$\leqslant c \max \{d_{1}(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}),$$

$$cd_{1}(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), cd_{2}(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})\}$$

$$d_1(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leqslant c \max \left\{ d_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}), d_2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \right\}$$
(3.7)

Similarly from inequalities (3.4) and (3.6), we have,

$$d_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \leqslant c \max \{d_1(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-2}), d_2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n-2})\}$$
 (3.8)

If follows from inequalities (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) that

$$d_1(x_{2n+1}, x_n) \le c \max \{d_1(x_n, x_{n-1}), d_2(y_n, y_{n-1})\}$$
  
$$d_2(y_{n+1}, y_n) \le c \max \{d_1(x_n, x_{n-1}), d_2(y_n, y_{n-1})\}$$

and an easy induction argument, shows that,

$$d_1(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leqslant c^{n-1} \max \left\{ d_1(x_1, x_2), d_2(y_1, y_2) \right\}$$
$$d_2(y_{n+1}, y_n) \leqslant c^{n-1} \max \left\{ d_1(x_1, x_2), d_2(y_1, y_2) \right\}$$

### SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS ...

For n = 1, 2, ... since c < 1, it follows that  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are Cauchy sequences with limits  $z \in X$  and  $w \in Y$ . Now suppose that A is continuous, then,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_{2n} = Az = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_{2n+1} = w$$

and so Az = w using inequality (3.1), we have,

$$d_{1}(Sw, x_{2n}) d_{1}(SAz, x_{2n+1}) = d_{1}(Sw, Ty_{2n}) d_{1}(SAz, TBx_{2n})$$

$$\leqslant c \max \{d_{1}(Sw, Ty_{2n}) d_{2}(Az, Bx_{2n}), d_{1}(x_{2}n, Sw) d_{2}(y_{2n}, Az), d_{1}(z, x_{2n}) d_{1}(Sw, Ty_{2n}), d_{1}(Sw, SAz) d_{1}(Ty_{2n}, TBx_{2n})\}$$

$$\leqslant c \max \{d_{1}(Sw, SAz) d_{1}(Ty_{2n}, TBx_{2n})\}$$

$$\leqslant c \max \{d_{1}(Sw, x_{2n}) d_{2}(Az, y_{2n+1}), d_{1}(x_{2n}, Sw) d_{2}(y_{2n}, Az), d_{1}(z, x_{2n}) d_{2}(Sw, x_{2n}), d_{1}(Sw, SAz) d_{2}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})\}$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$ , we have

$$d_1(Sw, z) d_1(SAz, z) \leq 0$$

Thus, 
$$d(Sw, z) = 0$$
 or  $d(SAz, z) = 0$   
So,  $Sw = z$  or  $SAz = z \implies Sw = z$ 

Applying inequality (3.2), we have,

$$d_{2}(Az, y_{2n+1}) d_{2}(BSw, y_{2n+1}) = d_{2}(Az, Bx_{2n}) d_{2}(BSw, ATy_{2n})$$

$$\leqslant c \max \{d_{1}(Sw, Ty_{2n}) d_{2}(Az, Bx_{2n}), d_{1}(x_{2n}, Sw) d_{2}(y_{2n}, Az), d_{2}(w, y_{2n}) d_{2}(Az, Bx_{2n}), d_{2}(Az, BSw) d_{2}(Bx_{2n}, ATy_{2n})\}$$

$$\leqslant c \max \{d_{1}(Sw, x_{2n}) d_{2}(Az, y_{2n+1}), d_{1}(x_{2n}, Sw) d_{2}(y_{2n}, Az), d_{2}(w, y_{2n}) d_{2}(Az, y_{2n+1}), d_{2}(Az, BSw) d_{2}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1})\}$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$ , we have

$$d_2(Az, w) d_2(BSw, w) \leq 0$$

6 SEEMA DEVI, NAVEEN GULATI, NAVEEN SHARMA

Thus, either 
$$d_2(Az, w) = 0$$
 or  $d_2(BSw, w) = 0$   
So  $Az = w$   $BSw = w$  or  $Bz = w$ 

Again using inequality (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d_1(z,Tw)]^2 &= d_1(Sw,Tw) \, d_1(SAz,TBz) \\ &\leqslant c \max \{ d_1(Sw,Tw) \, d_2(Az,Bz) \,, \\ &d_1(z,Sw) \, d_2(w,Az) \,, d_1(w,w) \, d_1(Sw,Tw) \,, \\ &d_1(Sw,SAz) \, d_1(Tw,TBw) \} \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$ , we have

$$\left[d_1\left(z,Tw\right)\right]^2\leqslant 0$$
 So 
$$d_1\left(z,Tw\right)=0$$

Thus Z = Tw or Tw = z. The same results of course hold if one of the mapping B, S, T is continuous instead of A.

3.1. **Uniqueness.** Suppose that TB has a second fixed point z'. Then inequality (3.1) and (3.2), we have,

$$[d_{1}(z,z')]^{2} = d_{1}(z,z') d_{1}(SAz,TBz')$$

$$\leq c \max \{d_{1}(z,z') d_{2}(Az,Bz'), d_{1}(z',z) d_{2}(Bz',Az),$$

$$d_{1}(z,z') d_{1}(z,z'), d_{1}(z,SAz) d_{1}(z',TBz')\}$$

$$[d_{1}(z,z')]^{2} \leq c \max \{d_{1}(z,z') d_{2}(Az,Bz'), d_{1}(z,z') d_{1}(z,z')\}$$

$$\Rightarrow d_{1}(z,z') \leq c d_{2}(Az,Bz')$$
(3.9)

Further applying inequality (3.2), we have,

$$[d_2 (Az, Bz')]^2 = d_2 (Az, Bz') d_2 (BSBz, ATAz')$$

$$\leq c \max \left\{ d_1 (z, z') d_2 (Az, Bz'), [d_2 (Az, Bz')]^2 \right\}$$

$$\implies d_2(Az, Bz') \leqslant cd_1(z, z') \tag{3.10}$$

It now follows from inequalities (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$d_1(z, z') \leqslant c d_2(Az, Bz') \leqslant c^2 d_1(z, z')$$

and so z = z' since c < 1, proving the uniqueness of the fixed point z of TS. It follows similarly that z is the unique fixed point of SA and w is the unique fixed point of BS and AT. This complete the proof of the theorem.

## SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS $\dots$

Corollary 3.1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let A, B be a continuous mapping of X into X satisfying inequality

$$d_{1}(Ay, By') d_{1}(A^{2}x, B^{2}x') \leq c \max \{d_{1}(Ay, By') d_{1}(Ax, Bx'), d_{1}(x', Ay) d_{1}(y', Ax), d_{1}(x, x') d_{1}(Ay, By'), d_{1}(Ay, A^{2}x) d_{1}(By', B^{2}y')\}$$

 $\forall x, x', y, y' \in X$ , where  $0 \leq c < 1$ . Then T has a unique fixed point  $u \in X$ .

Proof. It follows from the theorem with  $(X, d_1) = (Y, d_2)$  and S = A, T = B, then  $A^2$  and  $B^2$  have unique fixed points u. Then  $A^2(Au) = A(A^2u)$  and we see that Au is also a fixed point of  $A^2$ . Since fixed point is unique. We must have Au = u. Similarly we can prove for B.

### References

- [1] FISHER, B. and MURTHY, P.P. Related fixed point theorems for two pairs of mappings on two metric spaces, Khung Pook Math J., 37, 343–347, 1997.
- [2] GUPTA, VISHAL. Common Fixed Point Theorem for Compatible Mapping of Type (A), International journal of Computer Engineering and Intelligent System, Volume 2, No.8, pp.21-24(2011).
- [3] GUPTA, VISHAL. and KANWAR, A. and SINGH, S.B. A Fixed Point Theorem For n-Mapping on n-Metric Spaces, International journal of Emerging Trends in Engineering and Development, Vol.1, Issue 2, p.p.257-268, 2012.
- [4] Gupta, Vishal. A Common Fixed Point Theorem for Compatible Mapping, International journal of Journal of Natural Sciences Research, Vol.1, No.1, pp.1-5, 2011.
- [5] GUPTA, VISHAL. and KANWAR, A. and KOUR, RUPINDER. A Fixed Point theorem on Five Complete Metric spaces, International journal of Emerging Trends in Engineering and Development, Vol. 2, Issue 2, p.p. 202-209, 2012.
- [6] NAMDEO, R.K. and TIWARI, N.K. and FISHER, B. and TAS, K. Related fixed point theorems on two complete and compact metric spaces, Internat. J. Math and Math. Sci., 21(3), 559–564, 1998.
- [7] NESIC, S.C. A fixed point theorem in two metric spaces, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math., Romania, Tome 44(94), no. 3, 253–257, 2001.
- [8] Popa, V. Fixed points on two complete metric spaces, Zb. Rad. Prirod. Mat. Fak. (N.S.) Ser. Mat., 21(1), 83–93, 1991.

(Seema Devi) Green Velly College of Education, Shapur, Jind, Haryana, (India) *E-mail address*, Seema Devi: deviseema1679@gmail.com

(Naveen Gulati) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, S.D. COLLEGE, AMBALA, HARYANA, (INDIA)

E-mail address, Naveen Gulati: naveengulatimaths@gmail.com

Page 298

## 8 SEEMA DEVI, NAVEEN GULATI, NAVEEN SHARMA

(Naveen Sharma) Department of Mathematics, D.A.V.(P.G) College, Muzaffernagar, U.P.(India)