
A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR CYCLIC WEAK \emptyset -CONTRACTION IN L- FUZZY METRIC SPACE

Surjeet Singh Chauhan¹ (Gonder) and Kiran Utreja²

Deptt. Of Applied Science and Humanities, Chandigarh University, Gharuan¹

Deptt. Of Applied Science and Humanities, GNIT, Mullana²

Abstract: In this paper we are proving a common fixed point theorem for cyclic weak \emptyset - contraction for four mappings in \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space generalizing the result of D. Gopal et al. [9] for cyclic weak \emptyset -contraction in fuzzy metric space. Here we are introducing the concept of cyclic weak \emptyset - contraction for four operators in \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space to prove our result. We are giving the corollary in respect of the proved theorem.

Key Words: Fixed point, L-fuzzy metric space, Cyclic Weak \emptyset Contraction

Mathematics Subject Classification: 46S40, 54H25, 54E35

1. Introduction: The notion of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [19] and various concepts of fuzzy metric space were considered in [2, 4, 7, 12, and 13]. Many authors have studied fixed point theory in fuzzy metric spaces as [3, 8, 11, and 14]. In 1988 Grabeic [10] defined contraction and contractive mappings on fuzzy metric space and extended their results in such spaces. Continuing in this field Mihet [15, 16] proved fixed point theorems for various contraction mappings in fuzzy metric space. In 2010 Pacurar et al. [17] introduced the concept of cyclic \emptyset -contraction and proved a fixed point theorem for cyclic \emptyset –contraction in complete metric space. Using his concept D. Gopal et al. [9] proved a fixed point theorem for cyclic weak \emptyset -contraction in fuzzy metric spaces. In this sequel we are extending the work on the space introduced by Saadati et al. [18] to prove a common fixed point theorem for cyclic \emptyset -contraction for four mappings in \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space

2. Preliminaries:

Def.2.1. Complete Lattice is a partially ordered set (L, \leq) in which all subsets have both supremum (join) and infimum (meet).

Def.2.2 [18] Let $\mathcal{L} = (L, \leq_L)$ be a complete lattice and U a non-empty set called universe. An \mathcal{L} -fuzzy set A on U is defined as a mapping $A: U \rightarrow L$. For each u in U , $A(u)$ represents the degree (in L) to which u satisfies A

Lemma2.3 [5]: Consider the set L^* and operation \leq_{L^*} defined by

$L^* = \{(x_1, x_2): (x_1, x_2) \in [0,1]^2 \text{ and } x_1 + x_2 \leq 1\}, (x_1, x_2) \leq_L^* (y_1, y_2) \text{ if and only if}$

$x_1 \leq y_1 \text{ and } x_2 \geq y_2 \text{ for every } (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in L^*. \text{ Then } (L^*, \leq_L^*) \text{ is complete Lattice.}$

Def.2.4 [1]. An intuitionistic fuzzy set $A_{\zeta, \eta}$ on a universe U is an object $A_{\zeta, \eta} = \{(\zeta_A(u), \eta_A(u)); u \in U\}$ where for all $u \in U$, $\zeta_A(u) \in [0, 1]$ and $\eta_A(u) \in [0, 1]$ are called the membership degree and the non-membership degree respectively of u in $A_{\zeta, \eta}$ and furthermore satisfy $\zeta_A(u) + \eta_A(u) \leq 1$.

A triangular norm T on $([0, 1], \leq)$ is defined as an increasing, commutative, associative mapping $T: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying $T(1, x) = x \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$.

We define first $0_L = \inf L$

$1_L = \sup L$.

Def.2.5. A triangular norm (t-norm) on \mathcal{L} is a mapping $\mathcal{J}: L^2 \rightarrow L$ satisfying the following conditions:

- i. $(\forall x \in L) (\mathcal{J}(x, 1_L) = x)$; (boundary condition);
- ii. $(\forall (x, y) \in L^2) (\mathcal{J}(x, y) = \mathcal{J}(y, x))$; (commutativity);
- iii. $(\forall (x, y, z) \in L^3) (\mathcal{J}(x, \mathcal{J}(y, z)) = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{J}(x, y), z))$; (associativity);
- iv. $(\forall (x, x', y, y') \in L^4) (x \leq_L x' \text{ and } y \leq_L y' \Rightarrow \mathcal{J}(x, y) \leq_L \mathcal{J}(x', y'))$ (monotonocity);

A t-norm can also be defined recursively as an $(n+1)$ -ary operation ($n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$) by $\mathcal{J}^1 = \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{J}^n(x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, \dots, x_{(n+1)}) = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{J}^{n-1}(x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, \dots, x_{(n)}), x_{(n+1)})$ for $n \geq 2$ and $x_{(i)} \in L$.

Def.2.6. [6] A t-norm \mathcal{J} on L^* is called t-representable iff there exists a t-norm T and a t-conorm S on $[0, 1]$ such that $\forall x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in L^*$.

$\mathcal{J}(x, y) = \{T(x_1, y_1), S(x_2, y_2)\}$.

Def.2.7. A negation on \mathcal{L} is any decreasing mapping $N: L \rightarrow L$ satisfying $N(0_L) = 1_L$ and

$N(1_L) = 0_L$. If $N(N(x)) = x \quad \forall x \in L$, then N is called an involutive negation.

If for all $x \in [0, 1]$, $N_s(x) = 1 - x$, we say that N_s is the standard negation on $([0, 1], \leq)$.

Def.2.8. The 3-tuple $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{J})$ is said to be an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary (non-empty) set, \mathcal{J} is continuous t-norm on \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{M} is an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, +\infty)$ satisfying the following conditions for every x, y, z in X and t, s in $(0, +\infty)$

- i. $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) >_L 0_L$;
- ii. $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = 1_L$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- iii. $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(y, x, t)$;
- iv. $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{M}(x, y, t), \mathcal{M}(y, z, s)) \leq_L \mathcal{M}(x, z, t+s)$;
- v. $\mathcal{M}(x, y, \cdot):]0, \infty[\rightarrow L$ is continuous.

In this case \mathcal{M} is called an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric. If $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{M, N}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set then the 3-tuple $(X, \mathcal{M}_{M, N}, \mathcal{J})$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

Example: Let (X, d) be a metric space. Set $\mathcal{J}(a, b) = (a_1 b_1, \min(a_2 + b_2, 1))$ for all

$a = (a_1, a_2)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2)$ in L^* and let M and N be fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ defined as follows:

$\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(x, y, t) = (M(x, y, t), N(x, y, t)) = \left(\frac{ht^n}{ht^n + md(x,y)}, \frac{md(x,y)}{ht^n + md(x,y)} \right)$ for all $t, h, m, n \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then $(X, \mathcal{M}_{M,N}, \mathcal{J})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

Lemma 2.9. [2]: Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{J})$ be an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space. Then $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t)$ is non-decreasing with respect to t for all x, y in X .

Proof: Let $t, s \in (0, +\infty)$ be such that $t < s$. Then $k = s - t > 0$ and $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = \mathcal{J}(M(x, y, t), 1_{\mathcal{L}})$

$$= \mathcal{J}(M(x, y, t), M(y, y, k)) \leq_L M(x, y, s).$$

Def.2.10. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{J})$ is called a Cauchy sequence, if for each $\varepsilon \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ and $t > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall m \geq n \geq n_0$ ($n \geq m \geq n_0$), $\mathcal{M}(x_m, x_n, t) >_L N(\varepsilon)$. The sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be convergent to $x \in X$ in the \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{J})$ (denoted by $x_n \rightarrow x$ in \mathcal{M}) if $\mathcal{M}(x_n, x, t) = \mathcal{M}(x, x_n, t) \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{L}}$ whenever $n \rightarrow +\infty$ for every $t > 0$. A \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Thus \mathcal{J} is a continuous t -norm on lattice \mathcal{L} such that for every $\mu \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$, there is a $\lambda \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ such that $\mathcal{J}^{n-1}(N(\lambda), \dots, N(\lambda)) >_L N(\mu)$.

Lemma 2.11.[20] Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{J})$ be an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space. Then \mathcal{M} is continuous function on $X \times X \times]0, \infty[$.

Def.2.12. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{J})$ be an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space. Then \mathcal{M} is said to be continuous on $X \times X \times]0, \infty[$ that is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_n, y_n, t_n) = \mathcal{M}(x, y, t)$ whenever a sequence $\{(x_n, y_n, t_n)\}$ in $X \times X \times]0, \infty[$ converges to a point $(x, y, t) \in X \times X \times]0, \infty[$ that is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_n, x, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(y_n, y, t) = 1_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, y, t_n) = \mathcal{M}(x, y, t)$.

Lemma 2.13 [2]: Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{J})$ be an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space. Define $E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}: X^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ by $E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x, y) = \inf \{t > 0 : \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) >_L N(\lambda)\}$ for each $\lambda \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ and $x, y \in X$. Then we have

- For any $\mu \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ there exists $\lambda \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ such that $E_{\mu, \mathcal{M}}(x_1, x_n) \leq E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x_1, x_2) + E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x_2, x_3) + \dots + E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x_{n-1}, x_n)$ for any $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$.
- The sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent w.r.t \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric \mathcal{M} if and only if $E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$. Also the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy w.r.t \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric \mathcal{M} if and only if it is Cauchy with $E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}$.

Lemma 2.14: Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{J})$ be an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space. If $\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \geq_L \mathcal{M}(x_0, x_1, k^n t)$ for some $k > 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof: For every $\lambda \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ and $x_n \in X$, we have

$$E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x_{n+1}, x_n) = \inf \{t > 0 : \mathcal{M}(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) >_L N(\lambda)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \inf \{t>0: \mathcal{M}(x_0, x_1, k^n t) >_L N(\lambda)\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ \frac{t}{k^n}: \mathcal{M}(x_0, x_1, t) >_L N(\lambda) \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{k^n} \inf \{t>0: \mathcal{M}(x_0, x_1, t) >_L N(\lambda)\} \\
&= \frac{1}{k^n} E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x_0, x_1).
\end{aligned}$$

From lemma 2.12, for every $\mu \in L \setminus \{0_L, 1_L\}$ there exists $\lambda \in L \setminus \{0_L, 1_L\}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\mu, \mathcal{M}}(x_n, x_m) &\leq E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x_n, x_{n+1}) + E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \cdots + E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{k^n} E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x_0, x_1) + \frac{1}{k^{n+1}} E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x_0, x_1) + \cdots + \frac{1}{k^{m-1}} E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x_0, x_1) \\
&= E_{\lambda, \mathcal{M}}(x_0, x_1) \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{k^j} \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Def.2.15. We say that \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{J})$ has a property C, if it satisfies the following condition $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = C$ for all $t > 0$ implies $C = 1_L$.

Def.2.16. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{J})$ be an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space. The \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric is triangular if it satisfies the condition $(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x,y,t)} - 1) \leq (\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(x,z,t)} - 1) + (\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(y,z,t)} - 1)$

for every $x, y, z \in X$ and every $t > 0$.

Def.2.17. Let X be a non-empty set, m be a positive integer and $f: X \rightarrow X$ an operator. By definition, $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m X_i$ is a cyclic representation of X with respect to f if

- i. $X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are nonempty sets;
- ii. $f(X_1) \subset X_2, \dots, f(X_{m-1}) \subset X_m, f(X_m) \subset X_1$.

Inspired by D. Gopal et al. [9] we present the notion of cyclic weak \emptyset -contraction for four operators in \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space.

Def.2.18. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{J})$ be an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m be closed subsets of X and $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$. Operators P, Q, S and $T: Y \rightarrow Y$ are called cyclic weak \emptyset -contraction if the following conditions hold:

- i. $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$ is a cyclic representation of Y with respect to P, Q, S and T respectively
- ii. There exists a continuous, non-decreasing function $\emptyset: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$

With $\emptyset(r) > 0$ for $r > 0$ and $\emptyset(0) = 0$, such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Px, Qy, t)} - 1 \right) \leq_L \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, kt)} - 1 \right) - \emptyset \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Px, Sx, kt)} - 1 \right)$$

For any $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}$ ($i=1, 2 \dots m$, where $A_{m+1} = A_1$ and each $t > 0$).

3. Main Theorem:

Theorem3.1. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{J})$ be an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m be closed subsets of X and $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$ be complete. Suppose that $\emptyset: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous, non-decreasing function with $\emptyset(r) > 0$ for $r \in (0, +\infty)$ and $\emptyset(0) = 0$.

If P, Q, S and $T: Y \rightarrow Y$ be a cyclic weak \emptyset - contraction such that

- i. $P(X) \cup Q(X) \subseteq S(X) \cap T(X)$,
 - ii. $(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Px, Qy, t)} - 1) \leq_L (\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, kt)} - 1) - \emptyset(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Px, Sx, kt)} - 1)$.
- Then P, Q, S and T has a unique fixed point $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$.

Proof: Since $P(X) \cup Q(X) \subseteq S(X) \cap T(X)$, thus we have $P(X) \subseteq T(X)$, therefore for any arbitrary point $x_0 \in Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$ there exists $x_1 \in Y$ such that $Px_0 = Tx_1$

And $Q(X) \subseteq S(X)$, for this point x_1 , we can choose a point $x_2 \in Y$ such that $Qx_1 = Sx_2$ and so on.

Thus by induction we can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ such that $y_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1} = Px_{2n}$.

$y_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2} = Qx_{2n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Now to prove that $\{y_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in X .

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Put } x = x_{2n}, y = x_{2n+1} \text{ in (ii)} \\ &(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, t)} - 1) \leq_L (\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt)} - 1) - \emptyset(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Px_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, kt)} - 1). \\ \Rightarrow &(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)} - 1) \leq_L (\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, kt)} - 1) - \emptyset(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, kt)} - 1). \\ &\leq_L (\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, kt)} - 1). \\ \Rightarrow &\mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \geq_L \mathcal{M}(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, kt) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)$ is a non-decreasing sequence of positive real numbers in $[0, 1]$.

Thus we have $\mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \geq_L \mathcal{M}(y_{n-1}, y_n, kt) \geq_L \dots \geq_L \mathcal{M}(y_0, y_1, k^n t)$.

Therefore by lemma 2.13 we have $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Since Y is complete, there exists a point $z \in Y$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = z$.

Thus $Tx_{2n+1}, Px_{2n}, Sx_{2n+2}$ and Qx_{2n+1} converge to $z \in Y$.

Since the mappings are \emptyset -contraction, thus the iterative sequence $\{y_n\}$ has an infinite number of

terms in A_i for each $i=1, 2, \dots, m$. As Y is complete then from each A_i , $i=1, 2, \dots, m$, one can extract a subsequence of $\{y_n\}$ that converges to z . Also each A_i , $i=1, 2, \dots, m$, is closed, we have that point $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i \neq \emptyset$.

Next we are to prove that z is fixed point of P, Q, S and T .

As $Q(X) \subseteq S(X)$ therefore there exists a point $u \in Y$ such that $Su = z$. Now on putting $x = u$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (ii) we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Pu, Qx_{2n+1}, t)} - 1\right) \leq_L \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Su, Tx_{2n+1}, kt)} - 1\right) - \emptyset \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Pu, Su, kt)} - 1\right).$$

Now on taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Pu, z, t)} - 1\right) \leq_L \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(z, z, kt)} - 1\right) - \emptyset \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Pu, z, kt)} - 1\right).$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(Pu, z, t) \geq_L \mathcal{M}(z, z, kt) = 1_L \text{ as } \mathcal{M} \text{ is continuous.}$$

Thus we have $Pu = Su = z$.

Now as $P(X) \subseteq T(X)$, therefore there exists a point $v \in Y$ such that $Tv = z$. Now on putting $x = x_{2n}$ and $y = v$ in (ii) we get

$$\text{we get } \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Px_{2n}, Qv, t)} - 1\right) \leq_L \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Sx_{2n}, Tv, kt)} - 1\right) - \emptyset \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Px_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, kt)} - 1\right).$$

Now on taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get,

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(z, Qv, t)} - 1\right) \leq_L \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(z, z, kt)} - 1\right) - \emptyset \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(z, z, kt)} - 1\right).$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(z, Qv, t) \geq_L \mathcal{M}(z, z, kt) = 1_L \text{ as } \mathcal{M} \text{ is continuous.}$$

Thus we have $Qv = Tv = z$.

$$\Rightarrow Pu = Su = Qv = Tv = z.$$

Now we are to prove that $Pz = z$, for this put $x = z$ and $y = v$ in (ii) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Pz, Qv, t)} - 1\right) &\leq_L \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Sz, Tv, kt)} - 1\right) - \emptyset \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Pz, Sz, kt)} - 1\right). \\ \Rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Pz, z, t)} - 1\right) &\leq_L \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Pz, z, kt)} - 1\right) - \emptyset \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Pz, Pz, kt)} - 1\right) \leq_L \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Pz, z, kt)} - 1\right) \\ \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(Pz, z, t) &\geq_L \mathcal{M}(Pz, z, kt) \dots \geq_L \mathcal{M}(Pz, z, k^n t) \end{aligned}$$

But as $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t)$ is non-decreasing with respect to t for all x, y in X . Hence $\mathcal{M}(Pz, z, t) = C$ for all $t > 0$. Since $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{J})$ has property (C), it follows that $C = 1_L$. Thus we get $Pz = z$, therefore $Pz = Sz = z$. Similarly we can prove that $Qz = Tz = z$.

Thus P, Q, S and T have a fixed point $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$.

Now to prove their uniqueness let us choose another point $w \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$. Therefore w is another common fixed point of P, Q, S and T that is $Pw = Qw = Sw = Tw = w$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(z, w, t)} - 1\right) &= \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Pz, Qw, t)} - 1\right) \leq_L \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Sz, Tw, kt)} - 1\right) - \emptyset\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Pz, Sz, kt)} - 1\right). \\ \Rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(z, w, t)} - 1\right) &= \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(z, w, t)} - 1\right) \leq_L \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(z, w, kt)} - 1\right) - \emptyset\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(z, z, kt)} - 1\right). \\ &\leq_L \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(z, w, kt)} - 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(z, w, t) \geq_L \mathcal{M}(z, w, kt) \dots \geq_L \mathcal{M}(z, w, k^n t).$$

Again as $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t)$ is non-decreasing with respect to t for all x, y in X . Hence $\mathcal{M}(z, w, t) = C$ for all $t > 0$. Since $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{J})$ has property (C), it follows that $C = 1_L$. Thus we get $z = w$. Thus z is the unique common fixed point of maps P, Q, S and T .

Corollary 3.2. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{J})$ be an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m be closed subsets of X and $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$ be complete. Suppose that $\emptyset: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous, non-decreasing function with $\emptyset(r) > 0$ for $r \in (0, +\infty)$ and $\emptyset(0) = 0$.

If P, Q, S and $T: Y \rightarrow Y$ be a cyclic weak \emptyset -contraction such that

- i. $P(X) \cup Q(X) \subseteq S(X) \cap T(X)$,
 - ii. $\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Px, Qy, t)} - 1\right) \leq_L \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, kt)} - 1\right) - \emptyset\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(Px, Sx, kt)} - 1\right)$.
- Then P, Q, S and T has a unique fixed point $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$.

Proof: to prove the result replace Q by P in theorem 3.1.

References:

- [1] A.T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 20 (1986) 87–96.
- [2] A. George, P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 64 (1994) 395–399.
- [3] S.S.Chang, Y.J.Cho, B.S.Lee, J.S.Jung and S.M.Kang, Coincidence point and minimization theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 88 (1997), 119–128.
- [4] Z.K.Deng, Fuzzy pseudo-metric spaces, J.Math. Anal. Appl. 86 (1982), 74–95.
- [5] G. Deschrijver, E.E. Kerre, On the relationship between some extensions of fuzzy set theory, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 33 (2003) 227–235.
- [6] G.Deschrijver, C.Cornelis and E.E.Kerre, On the representation of intuitionistic fuzzy t-norms and t-conorms, IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems, 12 (2004), 45–61.
- [7] M.A.Erceg, Metric spaces in fuzzy set theory, J.Math. Anal. Appl. 69 (1979), 205–230.
- [8] J. Goguen, L-fuzzy sets, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 18 (1967) 145–174.

-
- [9] D.Gopal, M.Imdad, C.Vetro , M. Hasan , Fixed point theory for cyclic weak \emptyset -contraction in fuzzy metric spaces, Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, Vol.2012
- [10] M.Grabeic , Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst.27(1988),385-389.
- [11] V.Gregori and A.Sapena, On fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst.125(2002),245-252.
- [12] O.Kaleva and S.Seikkala, On fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Stes Syst. 12 (1984), 215-229.
- [13] I.Kramosil and J.Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika11 (1975), 326-334.
- [14] D.Mihet, A Banach contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst.144(2004),431-439.
- [15] D.Mihet, On fuzzy contractive mappings in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 158 (2007)915-921.
- [16] D.Mihet, Aclass of contractions in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 161(2010)1131-1137.
- [17] M.Pacurar, I.A.Rus, Fixed point theory for \emptyset - contractions, Nonlinear Anal.72(2010)1181-1187.
- [18] R. Saadati, A. Razani, H. Adibi, A Common fixed point theorem in L-fuzzy metric spaces, Chaos, Solitons& Fractals, doi:10.1016/ j.chaos.2006.01.023.
- [19] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. Control 8 (1965) 338–353.
- [20] J.Rodriguez Lopez and S.Ramaguera, The Hausdroff Fuzzy metric on compact sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 147(2004), 273-283.
- [21] J.X.Fang, On fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 46 (1992), 107-113.
- [22] C.Di Bari, C.Vetro, A fixed point theorem for a family of mappings in fuzzy metric space, Rend. Circ.Mat.Palermo.52(2003), 315-332.